

Understanding and preventing occupational diseases

Linn Holness

28 November 2014

Centre for Research Expertise in Occupational Disease

Research that makes a Difference



St. Michael's

Inspired Care. Inspiring Science.

Disclosures

Funding:

- Ontario Ministry of Labour funds Centre for Research **Expertise in Occupational Disease**
- **Occupational Disease Specialty Program**

Ontario Workplace Safety and Insurance Board funds the



Outline

- What is occupational disease?
- How common are occupational diseases?
- Under-recognition and under-reporting -Health care
- Prevention
 - -Awareness
- Use occupational skin disease as example



My Setting

- Diseases) are integrated
- Focus on occupational skin disease
- The importance of the "case"

Multidisciplinary clinical environment where patient care (Occupational Disease Specialty Program), education and research (Centre for Research Expertise in Occupational





Occupational Contact Dermatitis • Irritant CD

- -75% of contact dermatitis
- -Common causes
 - •Wet work
 - •Cleansers, detergents
 - •Oils, greases, cutting fluids
 - Solvents
 - •Alkalis, acids











Occupational Contact Dermatitis

Allergic CD

- -25% of contact dermatitis
- -Common causes
 - Metals
 - Rubber accelerators, antioxidants
 - •Resins epoxy, acrylic, phenyl formaldehyde
 - •Biocides, germicides
 - •Plants





; rmaldehyde





- Worked three years as an OR nurse no problems
- 2 years off, then returned to work
- First year back did not have problems with her skin "that were severe enough to do anything about"
- Skin rash initially sought treatment advice from anesthetist



- Exposures
 - -Chlorhexidine irritating changed to Betadine
 - -Gloves latex powdered, latex non-powdered, non-latex liners
- No specific training regarding skin hazards

powdered + cotton liners or cotton liners plus polyethylene



- Rash clearly associated with work -the more hours she worked the worse it was
 - -by third year severe enough took 2 weeks off significant improvement
- -within 2 weeks of RTW recurred and severe Treatment with topical medications

















- Patch tested by community dermatologist –Positive to rubbers
- No apparent intervention related to workplace exposures
- Physician told WSIB not work related claim denied
- worsening of condition
- Off work

Continued to work for a further year with ongoing problems and



- Seen in our clinic
- Further patch testing
 - -Positive to rubbers
 - -Hands flared over week
- Diagnosis
 - -Occupational allergic contact dermatitis
 - -Occupational irritant contact dermatitis

ct dermatitis t dermatitis



- In spite of RTW intervention, 6 months later -Not working
 - -Skin condition unchanged
 - -Using topical medications, emollients
 - work
 - -Self conscious
 - -Loss of income

–Uses vinyl gloves plus cotton liners or cotton gloves for house



What is Occupational Disease?

- Definition
- Depends on the setting
 - -General ILO
 - -Administrative/legal
 - -Epidemiological
 - -Clinical





Definition – ILO/WHO



Occupational disease - " any disease contracted as a result of an exposure to risk factors arising from work activity"





- Administrative/legal
- In Ontario
 - -Occupational Health and Safety Act
 - worker is entitled to benefits under the WSIA"

 "Occupational illness means a condition that results from exposure in a workplace to a physical, chemical or biological agent to the extent that the normal physiological mechanisms are affected and the health of the worker is impaired thereby and includes an occupational disease for which the



–Workplace Safety and Insurance Act

- "Occupational disease includes,
 - -(a) a disease resulting from exposure to a substance relating to a particular process, trade or occupation in an industry
 - -(b) a disease peculiar to or characteristic of a particular industrial process, trade or occupation
 - -(c) a medical condition that in the opinion of the Board requires a worker to be removed either temporarily or permanently from exposure to a substance because the condition may be a precursor to an occupational disease
 - -(d) a disease mentioned in Schedule 3 or 4, or
 - -(e) a disease prescribed under clause 15.1 (8) (d)"



- Epidemiological
 - data
 - -Case definitions
 - •Symptoms, clinical findings
 - •Exposures
 - •e.g. Hegmann et al, Impacts of differences in epidemiological case Hum Factors 2014;56(1):191-202.

-Studies using administrative data or collecting or using collected

definitions on prevalence for upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorders.



- Clinical definition
 - –Diagnosis of disease
 - –Documentation of causative workplace agent
 - •Exposure history
 - •Testing
 - -Linking the disease and agent



- Summary
- Definition varies depending on the setting
- use the definitions

Confusing for the various practitioners who actually have to





Recognition as an OD

- •ILO publishes a list of ODs –Definition
 - -Criteria for identification and recognition of ODs
 - -Criteria for identification and recognition of an individual OD
 - -Criteria for incorporating a disease into ILO list of ODs
- Jurisdictions may have their own lists





ecognition of ODs ecognition of an individual OD ease into ILO list of ODs r own lists



Occupational skin disease

- •ILO list
- •2.2 Skin diseases
 - -2.2.1 Allergic contact dermatoses and urticaria
 - -2.2.2 Irritant contact dermatoses
 - -2.2.3 Vitiligo
 - -2.2.4 Other skin diseases





Contact Dermatitis

- To use the list, shall have to have a definition of the specific diseases listed
- Contact dermatitis
 - an environmental chemical or substance"

• "reactive eczematous inflammation of the skin provoked by direct contact with





Contact Dermatitis

- Mathias criteria
 - Is the clinical appearance consistent with contact dermatitis?
 Are there workplace exposures to potential cutaneous irritants or
 - –Are there workplace exposure allergens?
 - -Is the anatomic distribution of the dermatitis consistent with the form of cutaneous exposure in relation to the job task?
 - –Is the temporal relationship between exposure and onset consistent with contact dermatitis?



Contact Dermatitis

- Mathias criteria cont'd
 - -Are non-occupational exposure excluded as likely causes? -Does removal from exposure lead to improvement in the
 - dermatitis?
 - -Do patch tests or provocation tests implicate a specific workplace exposures?
- Do clinicians actually use this?
 - -Mathias CG. JAAD 1989;20:842-848



How common are ODs?

- ILO global burden of OD
- 2.02 million deaths/yr linked to OD
- 160 million cases of non-fatal work-related diseases/yr Ryder – Director General of ILO
- "statistics can blind us to the humans behind the statistics"



Where does the information come from?

- Administrative data
 Government reporting
 Workers' compensation
- Clinical populations
- Workplace populations
- Population based



Where does the information come from?

- Each source provides different information
- injuries and diseases"
 - -focus on injuries
 - -"OD is essentially absent"

Collected for different purposes with different definitions • Am J Ind Med October 2014 issue – "counting occupational





The numbers for OSD

 Administrative data -Europe -US - BLS-Ontario - WSIB





OSD - Europe - Germany

- Europe
 - -Newly reported cases 5 to 10 per 10,000 workers per year
- •Germany
- •T Diepgen "... although number of unreported cases is presumably much higher (50-100 times greater)"



-Newly reported cases - 6.7 to 6.8 per 10,000 workers per year



OSD - Europe - Germany

- Trends Germany OSD
- •1960 6,000 cases
- •1990 20,000 cases
- Strict reporting system and financial incentives





OSD - USA

- Bureau of Labour Statistics
- •2010
 - -34,400 recordable skin diseases rate 3.4 per 10,000
 - -Note respiratory illness 19,300, 1.9/10,000
- •2012

-33,300 cases, rate 3.2 per 10,000

ses rate 3.4 per 10,000 300, 1.9/10,000



WSIB - Occupational Dermatitis Claims

Allowed Dermatitis Claims Registered from 1993 to 2005



Rate - 1/10,000 /yr



WSIB - Occupational Dermatitis Claims

Dermatitis Claims by Industry Sector Registration Date 1993 to 2005



Sector


OSD - WSIB

•WSIB disease claims 2008-2012 - health care, education, municipal and schedule 2 -Dermatitis - 27% of all dermatitis claims (1,036/3,881) –Approximately 200 claims per year across 4 sectors



Occupational skin disease

Clinical data

- –Patch test clinics
 - Selected population
 - Prevalence of positives to various workplace chemicals
 - Trends in allergens
 - -Epoxy
 - -Methylisothiazoline





Occupational skin disease

- Workplace studies health care
- Different definitions
- recent studies of HCW –Danish study – one year prevalence - 21% –Hong Kong – 22%
- Large health care institution in Ontario -28% normal hands
 - -59% mild changes
 - -13% moderate/severe changes





Statistics - population

 Population based studies hand dermatitis Review by Thyssen –Point prevalence 4% –One year prevalence 10% -Lifetime prevalence 15%





- •Worker
 - -Misdiagnosed
 - until workplace issues addressed disease continues
 - -Loss of function
 - -Loss of quality of life
 - -Economic losses





- Employer
 - –Worker productivity affected
 - -Staff turnover costs
 - -Don't implement prevention





Health care/health care provider

- -Health care system
 - Misallocated costs
 - Additional costs
- -Health care provider
 - •Frustration





- •System
 - -Costs misallocated
 - priority
 - -ILO annual 4% loss in GDP (US \$2.8 trillion)



-If numbers are small, not seen as a problem, so not a system



Why the gap?

 Under-recognition •Under-reporting



Under-recognition

- Lack of awareness of everyone's part
 - –Worker and Employer
 - Doesn't realize a potential problem
 - Lack of prevention of exposure
 - provider

•Doesn't think of possibility of workplace cause when seeing health care



Under-recognition

- Lack of awareness of everyone's part
 - –Health care provider
 - Doesn't realize a potential work-related problem
 - Doesn't take an occupational history
 - Doesn't make the link
 - -System
 - •As activity driven by WSIB statistics, appears that there is little problem with ODs
 - •Regulatory activity lacking laws, enforcement



Under-reporting

- - –Worker bother, reprisals
 - -Employer suppress claims

 - -Health care provider doesn't want to deal with WC system –Workers' compensation board practices

• Even if the problem is recognized, it may not be reported



Under-recognition and under-reporting

- •Literature

 - –Physician and diagnosis-related challenges –Workplace dynamics and social relationships at work
 - -Structural determinants
- Study (Eakin, House, Holness, Howse) -Psycho-social factors
 - -Workplace cultural factors
 - -Systemic and structural factors





The health care problem

- Health care providers
 - –Don't know
 - –Don't ask
 - –Don't make the link
 - –Don't know how to confirm diagnosis
 - –Don't report





- Worker perceptions
 - information about exposures
 - asked for information about exposures
 - -Holness *Dermatitis* 2004;15:18-24



–Workers reported 67% of GP's asked about job, 3% asked for

–Workers reported 53% of dermatologists asked about job, 5%



 Physician perceptions of their practice • Family physicians and dermatologists

•Holness et al, *Aust J Derm* 2007;48:22-27





- I ask about work history always/most of time -GPs - 57%-Derms - 92%If not, why not
 - -Lack of knowledge, time constraints
 - –GPs forget to ask
 - –Derms lack of adequate reimbursement/forms





- If suspect ACD, do you diagnose yourself –GPs – 13% always, 77% sometimes
- - –Derms 11% always, 64% sometimes
- If do if yourself, why
 - -GPs feel competent to diagnose myself, lack of timely access to specialists, lack of access to specialists
 - -Derms feel competent to diagnose myself, lack of timely access to specialists, enjoy it





If refer why

- of WSIB
- -Derms lack of testing facilities, time constraints, lack of adequate reimbursement



-GPs – lack of expertise, lack of testing facilities, lack of knowledge



- Knowledge and education

 - –Derms 2/3 good/excellent knowledge, 70% want further education
- Why don't you want further education -Don't see enough patients, times constraints, have access to specialists



-GPs – 1/3 good/excellent knowledge, 70% want further education



- Health care utilization
 - -Who seen
 - •Family physician (66%), walk-in clinic (18%), emergency dept (6%)
 - -Family physician
 - 2000 study median number of visits 3 (1-90)
 - •2013 study median number of visits 3 (1-30)
 - -Dermatologist
 - •Number of visits median 3 (1-50)





- The time factor
 - -Time to definitive diagnosis
 - •1980's study mean 50m
 - •2000 study mean 25m
 - •2013 study mean 61m, median 18m
 - -20% > 1y for first visit





- Why do workers delay seeking care? -Thought it would get better
 - -Not serious enough
 - -Symptoms not limiting work or other activities
 - -Concern about missing work
 - -Thought symptoms a natural consequence of work

–Nurmohamed et al, *Dermatitis* 2014;25:268-272



- •The time factor why is it important?
- Early diagnosis and management improves outcomes -rash<1y 53% improved, rash>1y 23% improved -Malkonen et al, BJD 2010 - <1y 56% improved, >10y 21%
 - improved





Application

- Goal early recognition and diagnosis
 - -Practice issues
 - •Family physician early recognition
 - •Specialist diagnosis
 - -Education needs

 - •Knowledge, general knowledge vs specific disease •Practical information – referrals, WC process



Prevention – primary

- Hierarchy of controls
 - -Premarketing assessment
 - -Elimination/substitution
 - -Engineering controls
 - -Education
 - -Administrative controls
 - –Personal protective equipment
 - -Environmental monitoring





Does prevention happen?

- Clinic population
- Workers being seen for possible contact dermatitis
- Collect basic data on ongoing basis
- Deep dives





Prevention practices

- Current study in progress
- 127 workers
- •Mean age 44, 46% male
- Sectors
 - –Manufacturing 28%
 - –Health care 27%
- 46% unionized
- •Wear gloves 86%





Prevention practices

- Training
 - –General OHS training 80%
 - –WHMIS training 76%
 - –Skin exposure and prevention 49%
 - –Education about gloves 35%





Prevention practices

- Of those who received training related to the skin exposures and prevention -Avoid exposure - 88% –Hand washing – 91% -Gloves - 78%-Creams - 51%
 - -Symptoms 35%





Where do we start?

•Awareness





Awareness – services sector

- services sector
- Methods
 - -Focus groups identify issues
 - -Electronic survey
 - -Participants
 - •OSSA Advisory Committee (39)
 - -Representatives from various industries in sector
 - •OSSA staff (37)
 - -Provide OHS advice and consultation of sector

Study to explore OSD awareness and prevention in the



Study Results – OSD a problem

| | Advisory Cte | Staff |
|---|--------------|-------|
| Do you think skin disease in a problem in sector? | 21% | 92% |
| Do you think the sector sees skin disease as a problem in sector? | 18% | 8% |



Study Results - Knowledge

Your level of knowledge re skin disease: moderate-expert

Services sector workplace level of knowledge re skin disease: moderate-expert

| | Advisory Cte | Staff |
|----|--------------|-------|
| | 19% | 38% |
| of | 0 | 3% |



Study Results - Barriers

Advisory Committee

- -Lack of knowledge
- -Not a priority few incidents/claims
- -Lack of training materials, tools
- -Time
- -Cost
- -Management support
- -Culture





Study Results - Barriers

- •OSSA staff
 - -Similar to Advisory Committee
 - -Also raised
 - Non-work related causes
 - •Healthcare providers don't recognize OSD






Study – HSA frontline staff

- HSAs provide OHS prevention services to employers throughout the province
- Objectives
 - -Relating to OD generally and OSD specifically
 - •To identify and assess gaps in awareness, knowledge, skills and resources and explore potential barriers to implementation
 - •To inform about the development of education programs and tools that bring knowledge to the point of practice in OSD prevention



Methods

Phase 1

- 8 focus groups; 64 participants
- Survey, focus group (1 hour) Phase 2
- validate findings
- Top messages & next steps identified

Facilitated workshop: 20 OHS "system" participants to review and



Results: Challenge of Addressing OSD/OD

- Driven by MOL (top 4 safety hazards (injuries, accidents)
- Desire to return to "the old days" -3 weeks certification training, 50% devoted to occupational health
- Inadequate knowledge of OSD prevention
- Need for OSD awareness
- Challenging to serve diverse workplaces
- Consolidation of 12 HSAs into 4 has strained capacity of front-line

d to occupational health rention



Results: Resources Needs for Consultants

- Access
 - -Quick and easy
 - -Central repository
- Trust in source

 Their legacy organization
 Colleagues
- Applicability usefulness
 –Applied
 - -Sector specific (anecdotes, stories)
- Development of core competencies

s) ncies



Results: Consultants' Use of Research

- Generally not aware of research
- Keeping up with research is challenging
- •Generally don't use time pressure
- Refer to experts (but shrinking pool)
- Challenge of access



Barriers to Addressing OSD

- Lack of awareness/knowledge
- Focus on safety; OD/OSD seen as low risk
- Lack of legislation/enforcement/policy
- Workplace culture ("part of the job")
- Large diverse work force a challenge
- Lack of valid statistics
- Shrinking pool of experts
- OD/OSD strategy not linked to HAS business plans
- Issue fatigue
- Cost





Poster Project Work to develop a set of awareness posters



HealthandSafetyOntario.ca



Suggestions for format



Equal split for preferring positive versus negative image





2/11



Centre for Research Expertise In Occupational Disease Research that makes a Difference

INFORMATION

It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.

GROWING THE LIFE OF YOUR BUSINESS"



 (\mathbf{i})

Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

WSPS.CA





Your skin is important. Protect it. (i) INFORMATION ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



GROWING THE LIFE OF YOUR BUSINESS"



It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.





Centre for Research Expertise in Occupational Disease Research that makes a Difference

Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. **ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.**

GROWING THE LIFE OF YOUR BUSINESS**



It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



e the right personal protective equipment. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

WSPS.CA





lesearch that makes a Difference

Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



INFORMATION





vork put you at risk. Speak to your doctor ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

INFORMATION











GROWING THE LIFE OF YOUR BUSINESS**





Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

GROWING THE LIFE OF YOUR BUSINESS™



 $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$

It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.







INFORMATION

Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. (i) **INFORMATION PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

earch that makes a Difference





arch that makes a Differen

It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. **ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.**





(i)

Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

WSPS.CA





 $(\mathbf{\hat{n}})$

INFORMATION

INFORMATION

Your skin is important. Protect it. **ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.**



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

esearch that makes a Difference





Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. **ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.**



WSPS.CA



1

It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.





INFORMATION

Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



(î)

INFORMATION

It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



'hings you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.





Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.





(i)

It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

WSPS.CA





INFORMATION

Know the hazards. Avoid exposures. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**

(i) INFORMATION





It's not just a rash. It can be prevented. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Things you handle at work put you at risk. Speak to your doctor. ASK ABOUT DERMATITIS.



Use the right personal protective equipment. Use it correctly. **PREVENT DERMATITIS.**



Your skin matters. Keep it clean. PREVENT DERMATITIS.

esearch that makes a Difference

Going back to the nurse

- Developed a common OD in HCW No specific prevention training Specialist did not make the link even though clear allergic
- response
- No workplace intervention
- Claim denied she is not in the statistics
- Does poorly
- No one seems to be aware





